

Lecture : Approximate DP (... Contd.)

In the last lecture, we encountered the definition and properties of (ϵ, δ) -DP and discussed the Gaussian mechanism that respects (ϵ, δ) -DP (with a proof for at least certain $(\epsilon, \delta, \Delta)$ values). We shall now take a look at an important ramification of using (ϵ, δ) -DP (via the Gaussian mechanism).

Note first that for any given $f: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, we have $\Delta_2 \leq \Delta_1 \leq \sqrt{d} \Delta_2$.

HW: Prove the above assertions. Hint: One direction may require application of Jensen's inequality to a suitable RV.

Thus, the "amount of noise added" (i.e., the standard deviation of noise) is typically smaller for (ϵ, δ) -DP under the Gaussian mechanism, than for ϵ -DP under the Laplace mechanism, for sufficiently large d .

The following argument makes this more precise:

[FACT: for $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_d) \sim N(\underline{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_d)$, we have

(i) $\mathbb{E}[\|\underline{z}\|_2^2] = \sigma^2 d$

(ii) $\mathbb{E}[\|\underline{z}\|_2] \leq \sigma \sqrt{d}$, and

$$(iii) \mathbb{E}[\max(|z_1|, \dots, |z_d|)] \leq \sigma \cdot O(\sqrt{\ln d})$$

Assume that $\Delta = \Delta_f = \Theta(1)$. Then,

- We have under the Laplace mechanism for ϵ -DP:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{j=1}^d |f_j(\underline{x}) - M_{\epsilon}^{\text{Lap}}(\underline{x})|\right] \leq O\left(\frac{d \ln d}{\epsilon}\right),$$

- We have under the Gaussian mechanism for (ϵ, δ) -DP:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\max_{j=1}^d |f_j(\underline{x}) - M_{\epsilon, \delta}^{\text{G}}(\underline{x})|\right] \leq O\left(\frac{\sqrt{d \log d \cdot \log(1/\delta)}}{\epsilon}\right).$$

Thus, for sufficiently large d , the maximum error across the d queries in f is smaller under (ϵ, δ) -DP than under ϵ -DP.